

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/612,875	07/07/2003	Fujio Akahane	Q76460	6960
23373	7590 09/29/2005		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			MRUK, GEOFFREY S	
SUITE 800	LVANIA AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037		2853	
			DATE MAILED: 09/29/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/612,875	AKAHANE, FUJIO	(gw)			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Geoffrey Mruk	2853				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence addres	'S			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the state of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period well. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	CATION. Seply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Se	eptember 2005.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-15 is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 07 July 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11.	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected accepted or b)☐ objected accepted or b)☐ objected in abeyan is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority 	s have been received. s have been received in Aprity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stag	ge			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/2/04, 4/25/05.	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/612,875

Art Unit: 2853

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 8-15 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 14 September 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yasukawa et al. (US 6,139,132).

With respect to claim 1, Yasukawa discloses a liquid ejection head (Fig. 24; Column 3, lines 29-41) comprising:

 a metallic cavity unit (Fig. 24, elements 13 and 116), formed with liquid flow passages (Fig. 24, element 84) respectively continued from a common liquid reservoir to nozzle orifices (Fig. 24, element 85) via pressure chambers; Application/Control Number: 10/612,875

Art Unit: 2853

 an actuator unit (Fig. 24, element 110), in which a plurality of piezoelectric elements (Fig. 24, element 11) are supported on a fixation plate in a cantilevered manner;

- a resin casing (Fig. 24, element 100), formed with a first face onto which the cavity unit is bonded (Column 15, lines 8-27), and
- an actuator chamber (Fig. 24, element 101) which accommodates the actuator unit therein such that free ends of the piezoelectric elements are abutted onto the cavity unit; and
- a metallic reinforcement member (Fig. 24, element 107), integrally molded with the casing such that at least a part thereof is buried in the casing at the vicinity of the first face (Column 13, lines 60-67; Column 14, lines 1-3).

With respect to claim 2, Yasukawa discloses the reinforcement member extends (Fig. 24, element 107) in the casing (Fig. 24, element 100) so as to surround the actuator chamber (Claim 22, pitch/array of element 107).

With respect to claim 3, Yasukawa discloses a whole body of the reinforcement member (Fig. 24, element 107) is buried in the casing (Fig. 24, element 100).

With respect to claim 4, Yasukawa discloses the reinforcement member (Fig. 24, element 107) is formed with a hole filled with resin forming the casing (Fig. 24, element 100; Column 15, lines 40-41, i.e. injection molding).

With respect to claim 5, Yasukawa discloses a part of the reinforcement member (Fig. 24, element 107) serves as the first face (Fig. 24, interface between elements 107, Δ g, and 116).

Art Unit: 2853

With respect to claim 7, Yasukawa discloses the reinforcement member (Fig. 24, element 107) is comprised of a metal selected from the group consisted of stainless steel, nickel, aluminum, alumetized aluminum and nickel-plated aluminum (Column 13, lines 60-67; Column 14, lines 1-3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wanibe (JP 2001-113697) in view of Ohta et al. (US 5,818,482).

With respect to claim 1, Wanibe discloses a liquid ejection head (Drawing 1) comprising:

- a cavity unit (Drawing 1, element 17), formed with liquid flow passages (Drawing 1, element 11) respectively continued from a common liquid reservoir to nozzle orifices (Drawing 1, element 9) via pressure chambers;
- an actuator unit (Drawing 1, element 5), in which a plurality of piezoelectric elements are supported on a fixation plate (Drawing 1, element 4) in a cantilevered manner;

Art Unit: 2853

- a resin casing (Drawing 1, element 2), formed with a first face onto which the cavity unit is bonded (paragraph 0020), and
- an actuator chamber (Drawing 1, element 12) which accommodates the actuator unit therein such that free ends of the piezoelectric elements are abutted onto the cavity unit; and
- a metallic reinforcement member (Drawing 1, element 1), integrally molded with the casing (paragraph 0035) such that at least a part thereof is buried in the casing at the vicinity of the first face (English Abstract).

With respect to claim 2, Wanibe discloses the reinforcement member extends (Drawing 1, element 1) in the casing (Drawing 1, element 2) so as to surround the actuator chamber (Drawing 4, array of element 1).

With respect to claim 3, Wanibe discloses a whole body of the reinforcement member (Drawing 1, element 1) is buried in the casing (Drawing 1, element 2; English Abstract).

With respect to claim 4, Wanibe discloses the reinforcement member (Drawing 1, element 17) is formed with a hole filled with resin forming the casing (paragraph 0035, i.e. insert molding).

With respect to claim 5, Wanibe discloses a part of the reinforcement member (Drawing 1, element 1) serves as the first face (Drawing 4, interface between elements 1 and 6).

With respect to claim 7, Wanibe discloses the reinforcement member (Drawing 1, element 17) is comprised of a metal selected from the group consisted of stainless

steel, nickel, aluminum, alumetized aluminum and nickel-plated aluminum (paragraphs 0030 and 0031).

However, Wanibe fails to disclose metallic cavity unit.

Ohta discloses an ink jet printing head where the "Similarly to the oscillation plate 12, the nozzle plate 16 is made of a thin plate of nickel (Ni) which is cast through electroforming. The other metal materials may be used instead" (Column 10, lines 40-43).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Ohta for the ink-jet recording head of Wanibe. The motivation for doing so would have been "to provide a multi-nozzle ink jet printing head which increases an efficiency of ink discharging from nozzles without producing the interference of adjacent ink chambers and realizes a high-frequency piezoelectric actuation needed for the practical use" (Column 2, lines 61-67; Column 3, lines 1-3).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2853

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Geoffrey Mruk whose telephone number is 571 272-2810. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am - 330pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on 571 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GSM 9/26/2005

> MANISH S. SHAH PRIMARY EXAMINER